

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIL		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/815,580		03/23/2001	George Harry Hoffman	062834-0104	5563	
22428	7590	03/25/2004	,	EXAMINER		
FOLEY AN SUITE 500	ND LAR	DNER	KIM, A	KIM, AHSHIK		
3000 K STR	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING			2876	,		

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				T	W			
		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	·			
		09/815	,580	HOFFMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examir	ner	Art Unit				
		Ahshik	Kim	2876				
5 . 16	The MAILING DATE of this commun.	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
Period fo	•	05 DEDI V 10 0ET	TO EVOIDE . MONTH	(O) 50014				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum starte to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. 0) days, a reply within the s tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be tinstatutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this con ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>06/20/03 (Ap)</i>	peal Brief).					
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is						
3)□								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims				ċ			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the a	pplication.			.3			
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar		consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				٠,			
	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or electior	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
,	☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 <i>March 2001</i></u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
سے (- ۱	Applicant may not request that any object							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				R 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	·	= : :					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,	•	, (=,, =, (=,,				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have b	een received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority docu	ments have been receive	ed in this National S	tage			
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT R	tule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the ce	rtified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen			4) T 1-4	(DTO 440)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) Notice of Informal F		152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6)					

Application/Control Number: 09/815,580

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Appeal Brief

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Appeal Brief filed on June 20, 2003.

Finality Withdrawn

2. In careful review of the Appellant's appeal brief, especially the summary of invention and subsequent argument section, the finality of the office action (mailed on June 28, 2002) is withdrawn. Currently, claims 1-18 remain for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hahn-Carlson (US 6,697,702) in view of Perkowski (US 5,950,173).

Re claims 1-4, 7-9, and 11-18, Hahn-Carlson teaches a method for tracking a shipment of goods (see abstract; col. 6, line 52 – col. 7, line 6) in the supply-chain management system (col. 1, lines 63+; col. 3, lines 7-14). The item-related or participant information is stored into a database (abstract; col. 4, lines 34+) for recording and retrieval of data. The communication infrastructure includes the Internet (col. 3, lines 34+). Although Hahn-Carlson does not explicitly suggests that the shipper or recipient is a restaurant, the system can be adopted by any merchant including restaurant.

Although Internet communication infrastructure often includes TCP/IP connections (and protocols), Hahn-Carlson fails to specifically teach or fairly suggest that the participants of the supply chain network utilize TCP/IP protocol.

Perkowski teaches a supply chain management system (see abstract; col. 1, lines 26+; col. 5, lines 28-35) comprising Internet (col. 5, lines 10+;) and TCP/IP communication protocol (col. 8, lines 28+).

In view of Perkowski's teaching, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ well-known TCP/IP connection (and TCP/IP protocols) to the teachings of Hahn-Carlson in order to allow interfaces/users whose system is TCP/IP based system. TCP/IP, along with FTP and other protocols, has been widely accepted as one of the popular Internet protocols. It is the Examiner's view that the embodiment disclosed in Hahn-Calrson perhaps includes TCP/IP. Incorporating TCP/IP would have been an obvious expedient, well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/815,580

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 5, a user is to provide identification information to access the system (see abstract).

Re claim 6, the item-related data can be entered into the system utilizing barcodes (col. 10, lines 4-16).

Conclusion

- I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Bhaskaran et al. (US 6,157,915); Lindell (US 6,622,056); Dickson et al. (US 6,564,226); Landvater (US 6,609101) disclose supply chain management systems. Applicant is respectfully suggested to carefully review these references.
- II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Ahshik Kim* whose telephone number is (571)272-2393. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571)272-2398. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (571)273-2393. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ahshik Kim Patent Examiner Art Unit 2876 March 15, 2004

MICHAEL G. LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800